

1. Definition of wildlife. 80.2 ✓ JTF
- ~~2. Close approximation. 80.35 JTF~~
- ~~3. * Predator Control + Wildlife Damage 80.50 JTF~~
- ~~4. Competitive Events 80.50 JTF~~
5. * Define technical Assistance 80.50 + 51 JTF
6. 3% cap on Central Services 80.53 Technical
7. ~~Define~~ ^{Are any} Law Enforcement-related ^{ineligible} activities 80.54 JTF
8. Allocation of funds between ^{eligible} marine + freshwater 80.66 JTF
9. Criteria for RD's approval of ^{drawdown of Federal funds not in proportion to match} 80.96 JTF
10. * Require the use of symbols 80.100 JTF
11. Fees for special area access may be program income: Criteria 80.120(c) Technical Legal
12. * Criteria for approval of Matching method 80.123(c) JTF
13. Eligibility of PILT Legal
14. Useful Life - Catastrophic Events may shorten ^{U.L.} - ~~No~~ Responsibility after U.L. ends 80.140
- Resp continues for lands underlying lands if acquired with grant funds Technical
15. Performance Reqs. Match Requirements must be fulfilled at subaccount level ~~except~~ Basic HE + Enhanced HE may be considered one No Cost data in perf. Rept

Steve
Barton/AMBS/R9/FWS/DOI
08/04/2011 08:33 AM

To Alicia Pierce/AMBS/R9/FWS/DOI@FWS, Andrew D
Mueller/AMBS/R9/FWS/DOI@FWS, Chip
Phelps/AMBS/R9/FWS/DOI@FWS, Dan
cc Hannibal Bolton/ARL/R9/FWS/DOI@FWS, Delores
Bigby/AMBS/R9/FWS/DOI@FWS
bcc
Subject ENHANCED BRANCH CHIEFS MEETING CALL - TODAY
AT 1000 EDT

WSFR PROGRAM – ENHANCED BRANCH CHIEFS MEETING

CONFERENCE CALL

Thursday, August 4, 2011
10:00 – 11:30 AM EDT

Facilitator – Steve Barton, WO, 703-358-2237

Dial in: 1-866-506-5792
PC: 3118713 LEADER CODE: 3370105

AGENDA TOPICS

1. Introduction of new WSFR Staff – Steve/Joyce
2. Update on 50 CFR 80 - Joyce

Division and Branch Reports/Updates

- AD Office
- P/P Division – Joyce
- AIM Division – Barton
- Audit Branch - Ord
- Budget & Administration Branch - Stephen
- Financial Assistance Policy and Oversight Branch - Maribel
- Information Management Branch - Leggans
- Programs Branch - Luther
- Program Accomplishment Reporting Branch - Dan
- Policy Branch - Tom
- Survey Branch - Sylvia
- Civil Rights - Public Access Program - Doug

Steve Barton

- Mineral Rights chapters
- ~~Standards~~ Standards
of Admin
- Real Property ~~regulation~~
Acquisition - Servicewide
① Departmental Manual Chapt.
② Delegation of Responsibilities from AD-WSFR
③ BIG Proposed Rule - Draft including preamble
④ Developing a list of for presentation of the rule
⑤ Priorities - CVA Proposed Rule next in line
Lands Chapters next draft for 1st 3 chapters
Compliance chapter finish

Joyce
Johnson/AMBS/R9/FWS/DOI
10/19/2009 02:30 PM

To thomas_barnes@fws.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Land as Match

is this an issue that needs more clarification from Larry to the regions?

----- Forwarded by Joyce Johnson/AMBS/R9/FWS/DOI on 10/19/2009 02:29 PM -----

Joyce Johnson/AMBS/R9/FWS/DOI

09/07/2007 12:28 PM

To lpmellinger@comcast.net
cc Thomas Barnes/AMBS/R9/FWS/DOI@FWS
Subject Re: Land as Match [Link](#)

Hi Larry - I am fairly familiar with the issue and background - and concur 100% with your assessment. From my experience, the need to 'rent' the state fish and wildlife agency controlled property is not a cost of a project (nor should it be), therefore, is not part of a 'match' discussion.

From my understanding, it seems there is also concern about using state land, not under the direct control of the f&w agency, as an asset to match sometimes unrelated projects - surveys, short-term habitat improvements etc. For the most part, as you mention, those grant proposals could be rewritten to include the land acquisition (transferring title and/or permanent control to the f&w agency) with a demonstrated ongoing need/objective (public access, f&w mgmt etc.) along with the relatively short-term objectives (surveys, habitat restoration, initial O&M, research) as part of the total cost - and using the appraised market value of the land as eligible match.

The 'rental' value, from my experience, often comes into play when the state f&w agency wants to use land owned/controlled by another state agency (i.e. public school lands managed by a state land office) and the f&w agency is expected to 'rent' the property for their agency purposes - just as any other agency or the public would be expected to do. Then the fair market rental/lease is part of the project cost for the short duration of the stated need (often public access for hunting) and, in some circumstances, could involve an in-kind match situation using the rental value.

I have not spoken to either R3 or R5 on the topic - but will use what you provide below to discuss and may involve you at some point. Thanks for your help - and look forward to seeing you in Michigan and/or at AFWA.

lpmellinger@comcast.net

09/05/2007 09:38 AM

To Joyce_Johnson@fws.gov
cc Thomas_Barnes@fws.gov (Tom Barnes)
Subject Land as Match

